

Review of the week's event experiences				
Event	# Participants	SU Rating (1= terrible , 5 = excellent)	SU Experience	I2 experience
<i>iAnatomy BoF</i>	SU: 3	1	Could not see audience well	Good local interaction
actual	I2: 15		hear	Very little multisite interaction
People very interested, and stayed	Audience was introduced --> kn own and committed		Lighting poor, esp. on audience	
			Audience were 2nd class citizens	
<i>Archeology SIG</i>	I2: 16	4	Camera control good	Truly was multi-site interaction
90 minutes	DC: 4-5			Very good facilitator
People really committed, and stayed	Cairo: 12			Good camera work
	SU: 12-14			Good agenda
	Barcelona: didn't connect			Really focused on the issue, facilitator kepted discussion on target
	Audience was introduced --> kn own and committed			
	By invitation, "private"			

		OVERALL: back channel is essential!	
Sound	Apps	Recommendations	
Not enough microphones. There was only a single AccuMic.	VC AccessGrid	General: multiple wireless and room mikes	
Initially tried to move it, but that got old.	RSV - locally. Web	General: lighting must be handled better	
In the middle of the room on the chair didn't work either.	Lack of microphone, light, distance	Content: generally good, and tailored to audience & panel.	
Discussion could not be heard except for those near the mic.			
The room was big, lots of carpet, sound was dead, table long way from the screen, Room was actually 2 combined.			
All except Cairo was easily heard. Lack of clarity with Cairo. But no echo!	Video conference via PolyCom	There was some disagreement among the participants on the topics.	
	Cut out occasional at SU, and once at I2. Other sites didn't know that SU was gone.	People can hide - can have off-camera discussions. Makes this different from one-site	
	since the same PolyCom was used later w/o problems.	Quality the audience - ask how many have done XYZ...	

Could hear panel, but not audience	Video conference via PolyCom	All panel members were equals, but one should take stronger leadership in facilitation.	Panel title was ambiguous, and maybe attracted too many people.
	Power Poinht / video clip	Make clear to the audience where the panel was	Maybe " <i>Experiences in managing a multi-site event</i> " would be better
	Good locally	Panel should decide ahead of time on the focus, esp. when the audience is unknown and large.	same conference --> panelists should be aware, and perhaps relate to the course
	Not visible remotely	Do a quick audience poll as to interests.	
	Bridged by local PolyCom - No dropout	This was set up as a discussion, not a series of talks. Should it be?	
		Need audience microphone - mobile	
		Encourage audience questions...	
		intro. Lecture for better audience knowledge and background.	
		Describe the motivation for the topic.	
		Have a handout sheet on the topic to be handed out before the panel to get more participants and more informed	
		Abstract: suggest a target audience	